



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,018	03/31/2004	Marijan Persun	42P14976X	2617

8791 7590 04/15/2005

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
SEVENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, TUNG X

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2829

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/816,018

Applicant(s)

PERSUN ET AL.

Examiner

Tung X. Nguyen

Art Unit

2829

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 20-25 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 and 26-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the “a plurality of leakage ring oscillators” recited in claims 20, line 2 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as “amended.” If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either “Replacement Sheet” or “New Sheet” pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Itoh et al. (u.s.p 5,410,278).

As to claim 1, Itoh et al. disclose in Figs. 1-3, an apparatus comprising:

An n-type (14b of figure 3a) and p-type device (14a of figure 3a) coupled between first (at P) and second supply voltages (at N) at a terminal; and an output node coupled to the terminal (input of another inverter (11a, b, c)), during operation of the apparatus, to provide an output signal having a switching delay (11a, b, c of figure 3a) in only one direction that is directly proportional to the leakage current (12 of figure 1) of one of the n-type and p-type devices.

As to claim 2, Itoh et al. disclose in Figs. 1-3, the n-type (14b of figure 3a) and p-type (14a of figure 3a) devices are coupled to function as an inverter (11 a, b, c of figure 3a).

As to claims 3-4, Itoh et al. disclose in Figs 1-3, the drains of the n-type (14b of figure 3a) and p-type devices (14a of figure 3a) are coupled to each other at the terminal; a gate of a first one of the n-type and p-type devices (14a, 14b of figure 3a) is coupled to receive an input signal (11a, 11b, 11c of figure 3a); and a gate of a second one of the n-type and p-type devices (11b of figure 3a) is coupled to receive a bias

voltage (output of the 11a of figure 3a) during operation that results in the gate-to-source voltage of the second device (11b of figure 3a) being less than the threshold voltage of the second device.

As to claims 5-6, Itoh et al. disclose in Figs. 1-3, a gate of a first one of the n-type (14b of figure 3a) and p-type devices (14a of figure 3a) is coupled to receive an input signal (feedback from 11c of figure 1, and figure 3a); and a gate of a second one of the n-type (14b of figure 3a) and p-type device (14a of figure 3a) is coupled to the output node (via 11a) and the source and drain of the second one of the n-type (11b).

As to claim 7, Itoh et al. disclose in Figs. 1-3, an apparatus comprising: a ring oscillator (11 of figure 1) including at least one leakage inverter (11 a, b, c of figure 1) to provide an inverted output signal (after each inverter 11 of figure 1) having a signal transition delay in one direction that is proportional to a leakage current (12 of figure 1) of a device of a first leakage inverter, and one or more static stages (11a, 11b, or 11c of figure 1), the ring oscillator to provide an oscillator output signal (output 11c of figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 8-11, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoh et al. (u.s.p 5,410,278), in view of Deal et al. (u.s.p 6,657,504).

As to claim 8, Itoh et al. disclose in Fig. 3a, the at least one leakage inverter (11a, 11b, 11c of figure 13a) includes a leaky device (14a, or 14b of figure 3a) having a gate for receiving a feed back voltage (output from 11c and feed back to 11a of figure 1) during operation that provides a sub-threshold gate-to-source voltage, and wherein the leakage current is a drain leakage current (col. 1, lines 59-65). Itoh et al., is silent about the gate for receiving a bias voltage. However, Deal et al. disclose in Fig. 1, the gate (12 of figure 1) for receiving a bias voltage (ENABLE of 12) to enable the inverters in the ring oscillator. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Itoh et al., and provide the gate for receiving a bias voltage (ENABLE of 12) to enable the inverters in the ring oscillator.

As to claim 9, Itoh et al. disclose in Fig. 1, the gate of the leaky device (12-15 of figure 1) is coupled to receive an enable signal (ENABLE of 12), the leaky device to be turned on in response to the enable signal being deasserted.

As to claims 10-11, Itoh et al. disclose in Fig. 3a, the at least one leakage inverter (14a, or 14b of figure 3a) includes a leaky device (14a, b, c) having a source (P of figure 3a) and drain (P of figure 3a) coupled to receive a supply voltage (at the source of P) and a gate (11a of figure 1, and 3a) coupled to an output node of the leakage inverter (11b or c of figure 1, 3a), and wherein the leakage current is a gate leakage current (col. 1, lines 59-65).

As to claim 12, Deal et al. disclose in Fig. 1, the ring oscillator includes at least three leakage inverters, and wherein a frequency of the oscillating output signal varies in proportion to the leakage current of the device (col. 3, lines 50-55).

6. Claims 13-19, 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deal et al. (u.s.p 6,657,504), in view of Itoh et al. (u.s.p 5,410,278).

As to claim 13, Deal et al. disclose in Fig. 1, an apparatus comprising: an enable input (ENABLE 12 of figure 1) to receive an enable signal; and a leakage ring oscillator (12-15 of figure 1) to be enabled in response to the enable signal being asserted, wherein the leakage ring oscillator (12-15 of figure 1) including at least a first leakage inverter; and an output (Ring CLK of figure 1) to provide an oscillating output signal in response to the leakage ring oscillator being enabled (Ring CLK feedback to 12); a frequency of the oscillating output signal being dependent upon a leakage current of the first leakage inverter while the leakage ring oscillator is enabled (col. 3, lines 50-55); Deal et al., is silent about the at least a first leakage inverter including a leaky device, the leaky device to be substantially fully turned on in response to the enable signal being deasserted. However, Itoh et al. disclose in Figs. 3a), the at least a first leakage inverter (11a, b, c of figure 3a) including a leaky device (P or N of figure 3a) for good in charging and driving element (col. 2, lines 45-50). Therefore, It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to the system of Deal et al., and provide the leakage inverter including a leaky device, as taught by Itoh et al., for good in charging and driving element (col. 2, lines 45-50).

As to claim 14, Idoh et al. disclose in Figs. 1-3a, the leakage ring oscillator (11 of figure 1) includes at least three leakage inverters (11a, b, c of figure 1), each of the three leakage inverters including a leaky device (P of figure 3a) to be substantially fully

turned on and another device (N of figure 3a) to be substantially fully turned off in response to the enable signal being deasserted (feedback signal 11 of figure 1).

As to claim 15, Idoh et al. disclose in Figs. 1-3a, the at least one of the three leakage inverters (11a, b, c of figure 1) includes a device coupled to receive a sub-threshold gate-to-source voltage (feedback signal of figure 1) in response to the enable signal being asserted.

As to claims 16-18, Idoh et al. disclose in Figs. 1-3a, the at least one leakage inverter (14a, or 14b of figure 3a) includes a leaky device (14a, b, c) having a source (P of figure 3a) and drain (P of figure 3a) coupled to receive a supply voltage (at the source of P) and a gate (11a of figure 1, and 3a) coupled to an output node of the leakage inverter (11b or c of figure 1, 3a), and wherein the leakage current is a drain leakage current (col. 1, lines 59-65).

As to claim 19, Idoh et al. disclose in Figs. 1-3a, the at least one of the three leakage inverters (11a-c of figure 1) is coupled such that the leakage current is a gate leakage current (col. 1, lines 59-65).

As to claim 26, Deal et al. disclose in Fig. 1, an method comprising: detecting a frequency of a leakage ring oscillator on an integrated circuit (see abstract, and col. 3, lines 32-37); the leakage ring oscillator including at least a first leakage inverter (13-15 of figure 1) to provide an inverted output (output of 13-15 of figure 1) signal having a transition delay in one direction that is proportional to a leakage current of a device of the leakage inverter over a first temperature range; and determining one of a local temperature or relative leakage current in response to the detected frequency (col. 3,

lines 50-55). Deal et al., do not disclose the step of at least a first leakage inverter to provide an inverted output signal having a transition delay in one direction that is proportional to a leakage current of a device of the leakage inverter. However, Itoh et al. disclose in Figs. 1-3a, at least a first leakage inverter to provide an inverted output signal having a transition delay in one direction that is proportional to a leakage current of a device of the leakage inverter (via 12 of figure 1). Therefore, It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to system of Deal et al., and provide the leakage current generating part, as taught by Itoh et al. for detecting the leakage current generating from the leakage inverters in the ring oscillator (col. 3, lines 5-10).

As to claim 27, Deal et al. disclose in Fig. 1, wherein determining comprising: accessing data indicating leakage ring oscillator frequency versus at least one of temperature and leakage current (col. 3, lines 50-55).

As to claim 28, Deal et al. disclose in Fig. 1, characterizing each of the leakage ring oscillator at different temperatures; and developing a look-up table indicating frequency of the oscillating output signal versus temperature (col. 3, lines 50-55).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 20-25 are allowed.

8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As to claims 20-25, the prior art does not disclose or suggest an integrated circuit comprising a plurality of leakage ring oscillators wherein each of the plurality of leakage

Art Unit: 2829

ring oscillators to provide an oscillating output, a frequency of the respective oscillators output signal to indicate at least one of a local temperature and leakage current; in combination with the other claimed features.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion


9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1967. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (571) 272-2034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2829

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TN
4/11/05


VINH NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
A.U. 2829
04/13/05